East Paul Lake

FIRESMART COMMUNITY ASSESSMENT REPORT

Prepared for

Thompson Nicola Regional District

October 2019

Brittany Seibert, LFR

Frontline Operations Group, Ltd. | 11510 UPPER SUMMIT DRIVE, COLDSTREAM BC V1B 2B4

Summary of Recommendations – Abbreviated

The FireSmart program provides detailed guidelines and recommendations to reduce home ignition potential during a wildfire. The recommendations made in this report must be considered *in addition* to those contained in the FireSmart *Protecting Your Community from Wildfire* manual. The following summary of recommendations is taken from Section 7 of this report, where additional detail and rationale is provided. These recommendations are specific for the community of East Paul Lake but can be applied to communities with similar characteristics.

Recommendations for East Paul Lake

1. Remove conifers and combustible plants from Zone 1 (<10m from home) and ensure clean yards free from leaf and needle litter

2. Zone 2 (10-30m) and Zone 3 (30-100m) should focus on:
    a. Remove all ladder fuels (i.e. low-lying branches) within 2m reach of the ground
    b. Increase spacing between conifers to 3m

3. Zones (up to 100m away from a home) that fall onto crown land should be assessed by a qualified professional to determine course of action

4. Remove or store appropriately all combustibles in Zone 1 – including personal items such as trailers, recreational vehicles, tools, building materials, etc.

5. Apply FireSmart principles to any outbuilding within 15m of a structure

6. Remove firewood stacks from Zone 1 during times of wildfire threat

7. When away for lengths of time during high wildfire threat, consider items such as rattan door mats, flammable patio furniture, children's toys, trash cans, BBQs, etc. as combustibles and store away

8. Create a local FireSmart Board and Community Plan to maintain awareness and community participation

Table of Contents

List of Figures

1.0 Introduction

The FireSmart approach is designed to provide and effective management approach for preserving wildland living aesthetics while reducing community ignition potential during a wildland urban interface (WUI) fire. The program can be tailored for the adoption by any community and/or neighborhood association that is committed to ensuring its citizens maximum preparation for wildland fire. The following Community Assessment Report (CAR) is intended to be a resource for residents of East Paul Lake for carrying out the recommendations and actions.

The CAR was developed by a trained Local FireSmart Representative (LFR). This assessment addresses the wildfire-related characteristics of East Paul Lake. It examines the area's exposure to wildfire as it relates to ignition potential. The assessment does not focus on the specific homes, but examines the community as a whole.

Funding for the Thompson Nicola Regional District – East Paul Lake – FireSmart project was provided by the FireSmart project was provided through the Community Resiliency Investment program and was provided by the Union of BC Municipalities. The grant enabled the regional district to retain the services of Frontline Operations Group to conduct the project.

Community assessment was carried out on July 31, 2019 by Brittany Seibert, LFR.



Figure 1 East Paul Lake Community

2.0 Definition of Ignition Zone

East Paul Lake is located in a wildfire environment. The wildland areas surrounding the community are typical ecosystems that have developed, historically, from frequent low intensity fires. With the introduction of modern forest protection policies, the typical fire cycle has been interrupted thus contributing to a host of cascading ecological effects including the buildup of forest fuels.

Wildfires will happen in the Thompson Nicola region – exclusion from wildfire is not a choice. In 2017 the province of British Columbia was subjected to one of the worst fire seasons in its history. Over 1.2 million hectares were burnt and roughly 65,000 residents were evacuated. The Thompson Nicola region alone saw one of the most devasting fires of that year, Elephant Hill. The fire discovered on July 6, 2017 continued to burn for another 76 days and consumed over 190,000 hectares. Over the course of the fire, over 120 homes were destroyed.

A house burns because of its relationship with everything in its surrounding home ignition. To avoid a home ignition, a homeowner must eliminate the wildfire's potential relationship with their house. This can be accomplished by interrupting the natural path a fire takes by clearing fuel from the home ignition. To accomplish this, flammable items such as excessive vegetation and flammable debris must be removed from the areas surrounding the structure. This will prevent ignition of fuel sources in proximity of the structure and prevent direct contact of flames with the home. Reducing the volume of fuels and reducing its ability to move vertically will affect the intensity of the wildfire as it nears the home.

Included in this assessment are observations made while visiting East Paul Lake. The assessment addresses the ease with which home ignitions can occur under severe wildfire conditions and how these ignitions might be avoided within the home ignition zones of affected residents. East Paul Lake residents can reduce the risk of structure loss during a wildfire by taking actions within their home ignition zones – which includes a house and its immediate surroundings within 100 metres (figure 2). Given the extent of these zones, the ignition zones of several homes sometimes overlap, and often spill over onto adjacent public or community land.

The results of the assessment indicate that wildfire behavior and subsequent losses will be dominated by the residential characteristics of this area. The good news is that residents will be able to substantially reduce their exposure to loss by addressing community vulnerabilities. Relatively small investments of time and effort will reap great rewards in wildfire safety.

Figure 2 FireSmart Canada utilizes the concept of priority zones surrounding a home to help residents prioritize their hazard reduction efforts. A home's immediate surroundings (Zones 1 and 1a) are of immediate concern to the homeowner and should be targeted aggressively to reduce ignition hazards to the home.

3.0 Description of the Fire Environment

Wildland fire behavior is influenced by the interaction of three broad environmental factors: fuel, weather and topography. Collectively these factors describe the fire environment and determine the intensity and rate of spread of a wildland fire. A working knowledge of the factors that characterize the fire environment is helpful for building an awareness of hazard mitigation at the site level.

3.1 Fuels

In the context of wildland fire, fuel refers to the organic matter involved in combustion. In Canada, wildland fuels are classified into 16 fuel types within the Canadian Forest Fire Behavior Prediction (FBP) System. The FBP system is informed by the Canadian Forest Fire Danger Rating System (CFFDRS), which is the primary tool to obtain predictive wildfire management intelligence used by agencies across Canada.

When dealing with the wildland-interface environment fuel can extend beyond the surrounding vegetation. Fuels can include a structure's composition, neighboring buildings, vehicles and other combustible materials found around the home – see section 6.3

3.1.1 Fuel Layers

The structure and arrangement of fuels are described in terms of their horizontal and vertical continuity within three broad layers of the fuel complex – ground fuels, surface fuels and canopy (or aerial) fuels (Figure 3). Ground fuels occupy the *duff layer* and the uppermost portions of the soil mineral horizon. In general terms, the duff layer is comprised of decomposing organic material and is found beneath the litter layer and above the uppermost soil mineral horizon (A-horizon). The components of the duff layer

lack identifiable form due to decomposition (as opposed to the *litter layer*, which is composed of identifiable material).

The surface fuel layer begins above the duff layer and extends 2m vertically. Surface fuels are characterized by the litter layer (leaves, needles, twigs, cones, etc.) as well as plants and dead woody material up to a height of 2m. In some cases, surface fuels may act as *ladder fuels* that can carry fire from the surface fuel layer into the canopy layer.

Canopy fuels are the portions of shrubs and trees that extend from 2m above the duff layer, upwards to the top of the fuel complex. Certain tree species, such as several spruce species (Picea sp.) are characterized by branches extending down to the forest floor, whereby these lower branches act as ladder fuels. Other species, particularly those found in drier, fire-maintained ecosystems, such as Ponderosa pine, lack these ladder fuels and form a distinct separation between the surface fuel layer and canopy fuel layer.



Figure 3 Wildland fuels can be described within three broad fuel layers: Ground fuels, surface fuels (to a height of 2m above the duff layer), and canopy fuels. Canopy fuels are also referred to as aerial fuels

3.1.2 Fuel Size

Wildland fuel can be further described in terms of relative size – so called *fine fuels* and *coarse* or heavy fuels. Fine fuels include leaves and conifer needles, grasses, herbs, bark flakes, lichen, twigs etc. Large branches, downed logs and other large woody material are considered coarse or heavy fuels. Fine fuels have a higher surface area to volume ratio than coarse fuels, and this characteristic influences the rate of drying and ease of ignition.

With a higher surface area to volume ratio than course fuels, fine fuels are more readily influenced by changes in environmental conditions (e.g. relative humidity, wind, precipitation etc.). As well, dead fine fuels react to changes in environmental conditions at a relatively faster rate than green (i.e. live) fine

fuels.

When available to burn, fine fuels ignite more easily and spread fire faster than coarser fuels. This characteristic makes fine fuels particularly susceptible to ignition from firebrands (or embers). Additionally, fine fuels are more susceptible to becoming firebrands – mobile ignition sources – as they are lighter and more easily made airborne. Finally, fine fuels take a shorter time to burn out than coarser fuels.

For any given fuel, the more there is and the more continuous it is, the higher the intensity of the fire will be and the faster the fire will spread.

3.2 Weather

Weather condition affect the moisture content of wildland fuels and influence the rate of spread and intensity of a wildland fire. Weather is the most dynamic element of the fire environment and the most challenging to assess and forecast. There are four main components of weather to consider when discussing wildland fire behavior: wind, temperature, relative humidity and precipitation.

3.2.1 Wind

Wind speed and direction influences the rate and direction of spread of a wildland fire. The application of wind on open flame has the effect of tilting the flame away from the wind, and, in the case of wildland fire, placing the flame into closer proximity (or contact) with downwind fuels thus contributing to fire spread.

Wind can also contribute to a preheating effect on fuel immediately downwind from open flame. Wind hastens the drying process of exposed fuel, with the rate of drying being a function of the surface to volume ratio. Having a relatively higher surface area to volume ratio, fine fuel moisture content is affected to a greater degree by wind when compared to coarse fuel.

Lastly, wind can also influence the ignition of a new wildland fire through its contribution to spotting. Ignited fine fuels – that have become airborne through rising thermal air – can be carried by wind over the course of large distances. These firebrands result in the ignition of new fuels cultivating in new fires.

3.2.2 Temperature and Relative Humidity

Temperature and relative humidity have a close and inverse relationship – as temperature increases, relative humidity decreases. This is because relative humidity is the percent of water vapor in the air compared to what would be present if it were saturated. As air is heated through increasing temperatures, its ability to hold more moisture also increases. However, without the introduction of more moisture the percentage decreases.

$$rH = \frac{\text{Amount of moisture currently in the air}}{\text{Amount of moisture air can hold}} \times 100$$

The moisture content of wildland fuel is constantly seeking to equalize with moisture content of the surrounding air. This effect is most pronounced in dead fuel. When the relative humidity is high, dead

fine fuels will readily absorb moisture from the air and conversely, when the relative humidity is low, dead fine fuels will readily give up moisture to the air.



Figure 4 shows the relationship between temperature and relative humidity, as temperatures increase and the overall water content in the air does not change, relative humidity decreases. This affects fire behavior through the increased drying of fuels

3.2.3 Precipitation

The effect of moisture on wildland fuel is dependent on the size and state of the fuel. The moisture content of dead fine fuel is highly reactive to changes in relative humidity, precipitation and wind. Fine fuels require less precipitation to reach saturation than course fuels, and in turn, dry out at a faster rate.

Precipitation's arrival in the form of thunderstorms can inadvertently increase fire behavior, even if for short time. Thunderstorms can generate large influxes in wind through in and out flows, and downdrafts which have adverse effects on fire behavior.

3.3 Topography

In the context of the fire environment, topography refers to the shape and features of the landscape. Of all the topography factors in fire behavior, the primary importance for an understanding of fire behavior is slope. When all other factors are equal, a fire will spread faster up a slope than it would across flat ground. When a fire burns on a slope, the upslope fuel particles are closer to the flame compared to the downslope fuels. This pre-heating effect on upslope fuels contributes to fast upslope fire spread. As well, hot air rising along the slope tilts the flame uphill which further increasing the ease of ignition of upslope fuels.

Topography influences fire behavior principally by the steepness of the slope. However, the configuration of the terrain such as narrow draws, saddles and so forth can also influence fire spread and intensity. Slope aspect (i.e. the cardinal direction that a slope faces) determines the amount and quality of solar radiation that a slope will receive, which in turn influences plant growing conditions and drying rates.

4.0 Site Description

East Paul Lake is located approximately 25km northeast of Kamloops, BC along the Pinantan-Pritchard Rd. It is bordered by Pinantan Lake to the east, Paul Lake to the south, Paul Lake Provincial Park to the west and is located on Tk'emplups te Secwepemc land (Kamloops Indian Band).

It includes ~75 properties with homes and outbuildings. Access to the community from the Pinantan Pritchard Rd. is from single access/egress point of Ojibway Rd. or Blackfoot Rd.

All structures feature a variety of ember accumulator features such as complex roof shapes, deck configurations and open (unsheathed) deck constructions and open carports. All structures feature a high degree of vulnerability to ignition of structures and Priority Zone 1 combustibles by wind driven embers. Lots are of varying sizes but are mostly standard size and configuration with homes are separated from each other by 10-20m. Some natural vegetation on the properties has been retained with additions of planted trees, hedges and ornamental plants.

4.1 Fuel Type

Classifying fuel complexes in BC according the FBP fuel types is an imperfect process, given the diversity of ecosystems in the province in comparison to the rest of Canada. When considering FBP fuel types for a particular fuel complex, the actual species composition is of less importance than the overall stand structure characteristics. The FBP fuel types referenced below specify. Certain species not found in BC (e.g. red pine and eastern white pine, etc.), however the overall structural characteristics of the fuel types share similarities with the East Paul Lake site conditions. Herein lies the challenge of classifying certain BC forest types into a handful of FBP fuels types. With regards to FireSmart only the first 100m of vegetation surrounding the community is of concern. In the East Paul Lake area (100m), the most appropriate FBP fuel type(s) is:

4.1.1 M2 Fuel Type*

This fuel type (and its "leafless" counterpart, M1) is characterized by stand mixtures consisting of the following coniferous and deciduous tree species in varying proportions: black spruce (*Picea mariana* (Mill.) B.S.P.), white spruce (*Picea glauca* (Moench) Voss), balsam fir (*Abies balsamea* (L.) Mill.), subalpine fir (*Abies lasiocarpa*(Hook.) Nutt.), trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.), and white birch (*Betula papyrifera* Marsh.). On any specific site, individual species can be present or absent from the mixture. In addition to the diversity in species composition, stands exhibit wide variability in structure and development, but are generally confined to moderately well-drained upland sites. M2, the second phase of seasonal variation in flammability, occurs during the summer. The rate of spread is weighted according to the proportion (expressed as a percentage) of softwood and hardwood components. In the summer, when the deciduous overstory and understory are in leaf, fire spread is greatly reduced, with maximum spread rates only one-fifth that of spring or fall fires under similar burning conditions.

**Excerpt from the CFFDRs FBP*

4.2 Fire Weather

There is little information about the specific climatic conditions of East Paul Lake. The climatic conditions of the southern and central region of the Thompson-Nicola can be broadly characterized by warm, dry summers and cool winters. The community's proximity to Paul Lake may also result in some microclimate conditions such as increased relative humidity.

4.3 Topography

East Paul Lake along the north-east shoreline of Paul Lake. Paul Lake itself is located at valley bottom with steep valley slopes to the south (30%) and gradual slopes to the north (<20%). The community is situated at base of slope, with overall slope increase of <10%. Topography is likely to play an indirect role of fire behavior within the community through its influence on local winds. As well, it may have an indirect effect with by influencing fire behavior via slope on wildfire burning elsewhere in the valley. This increased behavior may result in firebrands that could potentially be carried into the community.

Figure 5 Satellite imagery of the East Paul Lake community

Figure 6 Contour map of the East Paul Lake community

4.4 Human Ignition Potential

There are ample opportunities for human caused wildfire ignitions in the East Paul Lake area that could lead to a wildfire threat to homes. This includes burning on private land and ignition sources coming from vehicles along Pinantan-Pritchard Rd (ie: cigarette butts, motor vehicle accidents, etc.). There is also a campground located in Paul Lake Provincial Park to the west of the community. Campfires not kept within required measurements (0.5mx0.5m) or fully extinguished pose a threat of wildfire ignitions.

5.0 Assessment Process

The East Paul Lake community was assessed by Local FireSmart Representative, Brittany Seibert, during her visit on July 31, 2019. The community and adjacent vegetation within a least a 100m radius was assessed and observations were recorded using the *FCCRP Community Hazard Assessment Form* (see Appendix 2). The assessment process noted a number of attributes that contribute both negatively and positively towards the risk of property damage/loss due to a wildfire event.

The assessment was done in conjunction with the Paul Lake (west) community, however due to the distance between the communities and vast difference in fuel and topography a separate *FCCRP Community Hazard Assessment and Community Assessment Report* were completed.

As part of the FireSmart project – funded by the TNRD – a FireSmart public talk was given on the same day at a local resident's house in the East Paul Lake community. The objective of the talk was to educate home owners on the use of the *FireSmart Site and Structure Hazard Assessment Form* to help identify and prioritize hazards as they relate to wildland fire and their homes. The invitation was open to members of both communities and was advertised through the TRND's Facebook page as well as through multiple Community Champions including Diane Carlson. There were 10 residents in attendance.

6.0 Observations and Issues

The following observations were noted during the community wildfire hazard assessment. See Appendix 2 to view the entire community wildfire hazard assessment form and notations.

6.1 Roof Assemblies

A home's roof is the largest surface most exposed to embers during a wildfire. Homes with a flammable wood shake roof have a much higher probability of igniting during a wildfire compared to a non-wood roofing system. Homes in the East Paul Lake community were seen to have fire-rate roofing materials. Roofs appeared to be in good condition with no accumulation of combustible debris. Clean roofs will mitigate the potential of burning debris that may challenge a roof's fire resistance and reduce the chance of igniting another fuel source.

6.2 Building Exteriors

Risk factors associated with the exterior surface of a structure are less dependent on the characteristics of the exterior cladding system (e.g. stucco vs. cement board vs. vinyl siding etc.) and more dependent

on the likelihood of direct flame contact and/or ember accumulation on the structure. Accumulated fuel along an exterior wall can negate the fire-resistant advantages that any particular exterior cladding system provides, should the fuel ignite. The removal of fuel accumulations along any exterior wall should be of much greater concern than the actual composition of the wall itself.

6.3 Nearby Combustibles

In the context of the structure and site hazard assessment, *nearby combustibles* refer to non-vegetative fuel, such as firewood, wood fences, sheds, vehicles etc. Outbuildings are of particular concern if they located within 15m (45ft) of the home. Outbuildings pose a threat to the ignition of a home because they are able to sustain extreme radiant heat for over longer periods of time. As well, the additional risk of firebrand production poses a risk to any nearby structure. Any outbuilding that is located within 15m (45ft) should have FireSmart principles applied to mitigate its potential to ignite.

Firewood is a serious fire danger as it will ignite and burn intensely during a wildfire event. Often firewood is located near the principal building and is often responsible for igniting interface buildings during a wildfire. It is recommended that firewood be stack a min. 10m away from the home during the wildfire season. A pre-caution to shoulder months as wildfire seasons has shown signs in recent years of burning earlier and later through the calendar year.

Figure 7 Firewood is a high-risk hazard for home ignition during a wildfire event. Firewood should be stacked a min. 10m away from the home during high threat of wildfire

Direct flame contact is often thought of as the primary factor in home ignition and subsequent loss. However, recently it has become more apparent that ignition from a firebrand is the most likely scenario. Because of this, innocuous items commonly found around the outside of a home may act as combustibles that could ignite the home. Flammable patio furniture (particularly seat cushions), sisal

doormats and rats, or even a corn broom leaning against the house are all potential fuels that could ignite from ember accumulation.

6.4 Vegetation

Vegetation is assessed in three concentric zones around a home (Figure 2), with Priority Zone 1 (PZ-1) being the area occupying the first 10m (30ft) around the structure. More recently Zone 1a has been added to distinguish the importance of the first 1.5m (4.5ft) from a structure. The quantity and condition of canopy, ladder and surface fuels are the key factors assessed.

In East Paul, the predominant native conifer tree species is Douglas Fir. Several deciduous species were seen throughout the community. Deciduous species are the recommended FireSmart vegetation they are naturally resistive to intense wildland fire behavior. This is because the species lacks the ladder fuels for vertical fire growth, maintains a higher moisture content within its leaves, and has smooth, tight bark that makes it difficult for fire to climb.

Conifer species, particularly species such as spruce, pose a significant risk when found within the PZ-1(a). They offer easily accessible ladder fuels for wildland fire to reach the canopy and create large amounts of needle litter sustaining surface fire. Cedar and Juniper shrubs and hedges are also problematic from a home ignition perspective. These species are rich in organic volatile compounds and terpenes making them easily ignitable.

Several conifers were seen within the PZ-1(a) with accessible ladder fuels. However, there was also evidence of community effort in ladder fuel reduction (removal of low-lying branches) and horizontal continuity thinning. While these efforts will not remove risk completely – by FireSmart standards – it will however act to significantly reduce fire intensities should a wildfire spread through the community.

Figure 8 There is a mixture of vegetation throughout the 100m perimeter of the East Paul Lake community. Both conifer and deciduous species are present. However, conifers should be excluded to Zones 2 & 3 as the pose a significant risk to home ignition when found within 10m of a home or structure.

Most homes in the East Paul Lake community have overlapping zones. In many cases, one home's Zone 1 is the adjacent home's Zone 1. This is a common characteristic of higher-density WUI areas and it

reinforces the view that many individual FireSmart efforts can increase the overall wildfire resilience of the entire neighborhood. Unfortunately, the same holds true when one (or more) homes aren't FireSmart and pose a threat to adjacent homes that are.

7.0 Recommendations

FireSmart seeks to create a sustainable balance that will allow communities to live safely while maintaining environmental harmony in a wildland urban interface (WUI) setting. Homeowners already balance their decisions about fire protection measures against their desire for certain flammable components on the properties. It is important for them to understand the implications of the choices they're making. These traces directly relate to the ignitability of their home ignition zones during a wildfire.

A home owner/community must focus attention on the home and surrounding area and eliminate the fires potential relationship with the house. This can be accomplished by disconnecting the house from high and/or low-intensity fire that could occur around it, and by being conscious of the devastating effects of wind driven embers.

The following section of this report provides recommendations for consideration by the East Paul Lake community concerning wildfire safety issues that were identified as priorities during the assessment:

- Removal of conifers and combustible plants within the PZ-1a and PZ-1 will significantly reduce the fire hazard rating for structures and properties within the community. This includes removing any pine needle and leaf litter on the ground.

- Zone 2 (10-30m) and Zone 3 (30-100m) fuel management should focus on the removal of low-lying branches (2m) and horizontal continuity of conifers up to 3m.

- Fuel within Priority Zones that fall on crown land should be assessed by a qualified professional to determine the course of action to be taken.

- Personal items such as trailers/RVs, recreational vehicles, tools, building materials, etc. are all considered combustible and should be stored appropriately or removed from zone 1 (>10m from home).

- Neighboring buildings such as sheds or detached garages located within 15m of the home should also be considered as a fuel source. It is recommended that they also have FireSmart mitigations done to and around them to prevent ignition.

- Homes with firewood stacks are reminded during wildfire season to have wood stacked a min. of 10m away from the structure. Firewood may be moved closer during times of low wildfire threat. During shoulder seasons a reminder to homeowners to stay vigilant on weather changes peak burning periods have occurred earlier and later in the calendar year.

- When fire weather is severe and the home is unoccupied, homeowners should remember not to leave flammable items outside. This includes rattan doormats, flammable patio furniture, children's toys and trash cans.

It is recommended that the community come together to create FireSmart Community Plan regardless of the community's intention to seek FireSmart Community Recognition status. A FireSmart Community Plan is generally a simple action plan, comprised of at least three agreed-upon, doable action items that will improve a community's wildfire readiness. The Community Plan can be modified with the passage of time and renewed with each new wildfire season.

8.0 Successful FireSmart Mitigations

When adequately prepared, a house can likely withstand a wildfire without the intervention of the fire service. Further, a house and its surrounding community can be both FireSmart and compatible with the area's ecosystem. The FireSmart Communities program is designed to enable communities to achieve a high level of protection against wildfire loss even as a sustainable ecosystem balance is maintained.

Other than the replacement of an unrated wood roof or replacing a flammable deck, most FireSmart hazard mitigations around the home are inexpensive and straightforward. In many ways, hazard mitigation and spring yardwork go together and can be scheduled as such. Most often it is the small things that a homeowner attends to that can make a big difference in whether their home will survive during a WUI fire. The following are good examples of small steps that homeowners within the Thompson Nicola Regional District have put in place that make their homes – and subsequently their community – more resilient to wildfire:

8.1 Fire-Resistant Roofing

Replacing a roof is one of the single-most expensive FireSmart improvements. The combination of a rate roof that is free of fuel accumulations is a big step to improving the survivability of a home during wildfire event.

Figure 9 Fire-rated roofing material is proven to be highly resilient against home ignition during a wildfire event. Roof design can also contribute to mitigation of debris accumulation by minimizing valleys and pinch point where debris can be captured

8.2 Landscaping

Simple landscaping changes to one's respective Zone 1 can make all the difference in preventing home ignition. Replacing bark mulch with rock, replacing conifers with deciduous, and utilizing low flammable plants within gardens are all great steps one can make towards increasing their home's resiliency. Maintaining a green lawn is the best standard however, a mowed lawn is still a fire-resistant lawn – grasses shorter than 10cm are less likely to burn intensely. Removal of dead leaves and pine needle litter will also help to reduce fuel sources within the yard.

Figure 10 This home demonstrates several FireSmart landscaping attributes. The gravel provides a non-combustible surface creating a break from direct flame contact to the home. Conifers have been removed up to 10m away and ladder fuels have been cleared. Leafy, deciduous plants are the preferred vegetation to be found in zone 1.

9.0 Next Steps

After reviewing the contents of this assessment and its recommendations, it is up to the East Paul Lake community to determine whether or not they will implement the recommendations. The recommendations and FireSmart guidelines noted above are proven and time-tested to be effective in reducing the risk of wildfire losses. It is believed that there is great potential for the community and its residents to work together to reduce the wildfire threat quickly and substantially by acting to mitigate priority issues.

Should the East Paul Lake community wish to seek FireSmart Community recognition status it is encouraged for them to contact the Local FireSmart Representative and to also create a FireSmart Board. A FireSmart Board is a multi-disciplinary group of volunteer representatives of the neighborhood or community who are responsible for driving the FireSmart initiative in their community and ensuring the recognition criteria are met.

If the report and the recommendations are accepted and recognition will be sought, the East Paul Lake FireSmart Board will create agreed-upon, area-specific solutions to the FireSmart Community Assessment Report recommendations to prepare a FireSmart Community Plan in cooperation with their Local FireSmart representative and local fire agency personnel who may be acting as advisers.

If East Paul Lake seeks to achieve the national recognition as a FireSmart Community, the following standards should be incoporacted into its FireSmart Community Plan:

- Sponsor a local FireSmart Board that maintains the FireSmart Community program and recognition status

- Continue to work with the Local FireSmart Representative or enlist the assistance of a WUI specialist to complete a FireSmart Community Plan which identifies agree-upon, achievable local solutions

- Invest a minimum of $2.00 annually per capita in its local FireSmart Events and activities and activities (work done by municipal employees or volunteers*, using municipal or other equipment, can be included, as can provincial/territorial grants dedicate to that purpose).

- Hold a FireSmart Event (e.g. FireSmart Day) each year that is dedicated to a local FireSmart project.

- Submit an application form or annual renewal application form with supporting information to FireSmart Canada. This application or renewal process documents continuing participation in the FireSmart Communities Program with respect to the above criteria.

** Volunteer hours are calculated at a rate of $21 per hour or at the rate of service being voluntarily given*

10.0 Signature of Local FireSmart Representative

Signed:	Date signed:	
Brittany Seibert	October 25, 2019	Brittany Seibert, LFR Frontline Operations Group, Ltd. Brittany@frontlineops.ca

APPENDIX 1: Resources

- FireSmart Canada

  https://www.firesmartcanada.ca

- FireSmart British Columbia

  https://firesmartbc.ca

- FireSmart Begins at Home Assessment

  https://firesmartbc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/FireSmart-Home-Assessment.pdf

- FireSmart Canada Community Recognition Program (FCCRP)

  https://firesmartbc.ca/resource/how-to-apply-for-the-firesmart-canada-community-recognition-program-fccrp/

  https://firesmartbc.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/01/FCCRP-Application-Form-1.pdf

- FireSmart Guide to Landscaping

  https://www.firesmartcanada.ca/mdocs-posts/firesmart-guide-to-landscaping/

APPENDIX 2: Community Wildfire Assessment form

This Community Wildfire Hazard Assessment form provides a written evaluation of the overall community wildfire hazard – the prevailing condition of structures, adjacent vegetation and other factors affecting the FireSmart status of a small community or neighbourhood. This hazard is based on the **hazard factors** and **FireSmart recommended guidelines** found in **FireSmart: Protecting Your Community from Wildfire** (Partners in Protection, 2003) and will assist the Local FireSmart Representative in preparing the FireSmart Community Assessment Report. **NOTE: Mitigation comments refer to the degree to which the overall community complies or fails to comply with FireSmart recommended guidelines with respect to each hazard factor**

Community Name: East Paul Lake		**Date: (mm/dd/yyyy) July 31, 2019**
Assessor Name: Brittany Seibert		**Accompanying Community Member(s):**
Hazard Factor	**Ref**	**Mitigation Comments**
1. Roof Assemblies		
a. Type of roofs ULC rated (metal, tile, asphalt, rated wood shakes) unrated (unrated wood shakes)	2-5 3-21	All homes seen had fire rated roofs consisting of asphalt singles or metal
b. Roof cleanliness and condition * *Debris accumulation on roofs / in gutters; curled damaged or missing roofing material; or any gaps that will allow ember entry or fire impingement beneath the roof covering*	2-6	Roofs are in good condition and free/clean of debris
2. Building Exteriors		
2.1 Materials		
a. Siding, deck and eaves	2-7 2-8 2-9	Majority of homes' exteriors were of unrated material of vinyl or wood. A couple of log homes were seen in the area. Decks were a common home addition and were built close to the ground. Decks seen were of variety of building materials, conditions and screening
b. Window and door glazings (single pane, sealed double pane)	2-10	Due to mainly residential homes (versus mainly vacation homes to the west) it is likely structures contain double panned windows at minimal. Potential for some outbuildings to be outfitted with single pane

c. Ember Accumulator Features (scarce to abundant) * *Structural features such as open eaves, gutters, unscreened soffits and vents, roof valleys and unsheathed crawlspaces and under-deck areas*		All structures have a variety of ember accumulator features – roof shapes, deck configurations, and open (unsheathed) deck construction
d. Nearby Combustibles – firewood, fences, outbuildings	2-11	All structures have a variety of combustibles located within <10m from main structure. Majority of these combustibles include outbuildings such as sheds. While some structures contained nearby firewood piles there was evidence of homeowners removing piles to further point on property as possible to mitigate hazard

Hazard Factor	Ref	Mitigation Comments
3. Vegetation		
3.1 **PZ-1:** Vegetation - 0 - 10m from structure **Page Reference 3-5**		
a. Overstory forest vegetation (treated vs. untreated)	2-14	Mixture of sparse deciduous and conifer overstory. Reminder to property owners choosing to have conifers within <10m of structure to mitigate hazard through removal of ladder fuels and removal of continuous crown
b. Ladder fuels (treated vs untreated)	2-17	Evidence of both treated and untreated ladder fuels around community. Low lying branches have been removed from many of the conifers throughout the community. Combustible plants/shrubs were still seen within <10m and in the non-combustible zone
c. Surface fuels - includes landscaping mulches and flammable plants (treated vs untreated)	2-16	Lawns are well maintained through proper watering and growth kept to below 15cm. Overall litter from overstory appears to be maintained and cleaned up regularly.
3.2 **PZ-2:** Vegetation - 10 - 30m from structures **Page Reference 3-9**		
a. Forest vegetation (overstory) treated vs untreated	2-14	Structures located in the middle of community have sparse overstory (see zone 1 comments); structures located along forest line have M2 fuel type (75% deciduous, 25% conifer) located along property lines
b. Ladder fuels treated vs untreated	2-17	See zone 1 comments; structures located along forest line (M2) contain mainly deciduous shrubs that are unlikely to contribute to vertical growth of fire. However combustible shrubs and immature conifers should be removed as to sterilize the fire environment further
c. Surface fuels treated vs untreated	2-16	Deciduous shrubs, organic layer of needles, twigs and fallen branches, etc.
3.3 **PZ-3:** Vegetation - 30 - 100m from structures **Page Reference 3-13** Provide mitigation comments on the prevailing PZ3 fuel type		
a. Light fuel - deciduous – grass, shrubs	2-16	Deciduous shrubs, organic layer of needles, twigs and fallen branches, etc.

Hazard Factor	Ref	Mitigation Comments
b. Moderate fuel - mixed wood – light to moderate surface and ladder fuels, shrubs	2-17	M2 Fuel type
c. Heavy fuel - coniferous - moderate to heavy surface and ladder fuels, shrubs	2-14	N/A
d. Logging slash, dead/down fuel accumulations	2-16	N/A
e. Diseased forest – without foliage vs with foliage		N/A
f. Fuel islands within community - treated vs untreated		N/A
4. Topography		
4.1 Slope (within 100m of structures)		
a. Slope - Flat or < 10 %, 10 – 30% or >30%	2-19	Flat, <10%
4.2 Buildings setback on slopes >30 %, position on slope Provide mitigation comments on items a – c as applicable		
a. Setback from top of slope > 10m, or bottom of slope – valley bottom. b. Buildings located mid-slope c. Setback from top of slope <10m, or upper slope	2-12	N/A

Hazard Factor	Ref	Mitigation Comments
5. Infrastructure – Access / Egress, Roads, Driveways and Signage		
5.1 Access Routes – Road Layout To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?		
a. Single Road or Looped Road	3-28	Single road access/egress for community (residential area); Road to Kamloops and Pritchard
5.2 Roads- width, grade, curves, bridges and turnarounds		
a. To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?	3-30	N/A
5.4 Fire Service Access / Driveways - Grade, Width/Length, Turnarounds		
a. To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?	3-30	N/A
5.5 Street Signs / House Numbers		
a. To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?	3-30	N/A
6. Fire Suppression - Water Supply, Fire Service, Homeowner Capability		
6.1 Water Supply		
a. Fire Service water supply – hydrants, static source, tender or no water supply	3-32	Water storage (300-500 gallons est.) located throughout community; suppression tools including pumps located strategically around community
6.2 Fire Service		
a. Fire Service < 10 minutes or > 10 minutes, no fire service	2-25	No official FD; BCWS crews located in Kamloops – IA and UC
6.3 Homeowners Suppression Equipment		
a. Shovel, grubbing tool, water supply, sprinklers, roof-top access ladder	3-28	Basic suppression tools and pumps located within community

Hazard Factor	Ref	Mitigation Comments
7. Fire Ignition and Prevention – Utilities, Chimneys, Burn Barrel / Fire Pit, Ignition Potential		
7.1 Utilities		
a. To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?	2-24	N/A
7.2 Chimneys, Burn Barrel / Fire Pit		
a. To FireSmart Recommended Guideline?	2-22	N/A
7.3 Ignition Potential Provide mitigation comments on items a – d as applicable		
a. Topographic features adversely affect fire behaviour b. Elevated probability of human or natural ignitions c. Periodic exposure to extreme fire weather or winds d. Other	2-21	Valley may affect wind speeds and directions. Slope unlikely to have direct effect on homes within community, however increased fire behaviour due to slope may cause firebrands that may be carried into community and ignite fuels. Human ignitions sources limited to burning (inc. campfires) and ignition sources from passing vehicles (i.e. cigarettes)
General Comments		